arXiv:1704.04079v1 [math.DS] 13 Apr 2017

Generalized heredity in $\mathcal{B}$-free systems

Gerhard Keller

Department of Mathematics, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg,
Cauerstr. 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany
Email: keller@math.fau.de

Version of April 14, 2017

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a primitive set. We complement results on heredity of the $\mathcal{B}$-free subshift X_η from [2] in two directions: In the proximal case we prove that a subshift X_φ, which micht be slightly larger than the subshift X_η, is always hereditary. (There is no need to assume that the set $\mathcal{B}$ has light tails, but if $\mathcal{B}$ does have light tails, then $X_\varphi = X_\eta$ [7].) We also generalize the the concept of heredity to the non-proximal (and hence non-hereditary) case by proving that X_φ is always "hereditary away from its unique minimal subsystem" (which is alway Toeplitz). Finally we characterize regularity of this Toeplitz subsystem equivalently by the condition $m_H(\overline{\mathrm{int}(W)}) = 0$, where W ("the window") is a subset of a compact abelian group H canonically associated with the set $\mathcal{B}$, and m_H denotes Haar measure on H. Throughout, results from [7] are heavily used.

1 Introduction and results

For any given set $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ one can define its *set of multiples*

$$\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{b\in\mathcal{B}} b\mathbb{Z}$$

and the set of *$\mathcal{B}$-free numbers*

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{B} := \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_\mathcal{B} .$$

The investigation of structural properties of $\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{B}$ or, equivalently, of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{B}$ has a long history (see the monograph [6] and the recent paper [2] for references), and dynamical systems theory provides some useful tools for this. Namely, denote by $\eta \in \{0,1\}^\mathbb{Z}$ the characteristic function of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{B}$, i.e. $\eta(n) = 1$ if and only if $n \in \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{B}$, and consider the orbit closure X_η of η in the shift dynamical system $(\{0,1\}^\mathbb{Z}, \sigma)$, where σ stands for the left shift. Then topological dynamics and ergodic theory provide a wealth of concepts to describe various aspects of the structure of η, see [11] which originated this point of view by studying the set of square-free numbers, and also [10], [1], [2], [7] for later contributions.

MSC 2010 clasification: 37A35, 37A45, 37B05.
Keywords: $\mathcal{B}$-free dynamics, sets of multiples, heredity.

1.1 Motivation and main results

In this note we always assume that $\mathcal{B}$ is *primitive*, i.e. that there are no $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}$ with $b \mid b'$. We say that X_η is *hereditary*, if $y \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ belongs to X_η whenever there is $x \in X_\eta$ with $y \leqslant x$. For certain hereditary $\mathcal{B}$-free systems, the structure of invariant measures, in particular the uniqueness of the measure of maximal entropy, was studied in [9] and [2]. Here we apply some of the tools developed in [7] to generalize the concept of heredity.

Obviously, if X_η is hereditary, then $(\dots, 0, 0, \dots) \in X_\eta$, in which case this fixed point of the shift is the unique minimal subset of (X_η, σ) [2, Thm. B]. Theorem 3.7 of [2] and Theorem C of [7] provide long lists of properties which are all equivalent to "$(\dots, 0, 0, \dots) \in X_\eta$" and which also motivate to call $\mathcal{B}$ *proximal* in this case. It is noteworthy that among these equivalent properties are "(X_η, σ) is minimal" and "$\mathcal{B}$ contains an infinite pairwise coprime subset".

Recall that the set $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ has *light tails*, if

$$\lim_{K\to\infty} \overline{d}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\{b\in\mathcal{B}:b>K\}}\right) = 0\,, \tag{1}$$

where $\overline{d}(\mathcal{A}) := \limsup_{N\to\infty} N^{-1} \operatorname{card}(\mathcal{A} \cap [1, N])$. It is proved in [2, Theorem D] that, for sets $\mathcal{B}$ with light tails, proximality is not only necessary for heredity, but also sufficient. Our main result generalizes the concept of heredity to the non-proximal case, and it highlights the role of the light tails assumption in this result. In order to formulate it, we recall some notions from [7].

- $\Delta : \mathbb{Z} \to \prod_{b\in\mathcal{B}} \mathbb{Z}/b\mathbb{Z}$, $\Delta(n) = (n, n, \dots)$, denotes the canonical diagonal embedding.
- $H := \overline{\Delta(\mathbb{Z})}$ is a compact abelian group, and we denote by m_H its normalised Haar measure.
- The *window* associated to $\mathcal{B}$ is defined as

$$W := \{h \in H : h_b \neq 0 \ (\forall b \in \mathcal{B})\}. \tag{2}$$

- For an arbitrary subset $A \subseteq H$ we define the coding function $\varphi_A : H \to \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $\varphi_A(h)(n) = 1$ if and only if $h + \Delta(n) \in A$. Of particular interest are the coding functions $\varphi := \varphi_W$, $\varphi_{W'}$ and $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}$, where $W' := \overline{\operatorname{int}(W)}$. Observe that $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)} \leqslant \varphi_{W'} \leqslant \varphi$, and that $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}$ is lower semicontinuous, while $\varphi_{W'}$ and φ are upper semicontinuous.
- Observe that $\varphi(h)(n) = 1$ if and only if $h_b + n \neq 0 \bmod b$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$.
- With this notation $\eta = \varphi(\Delta(0))$ and $X_\eta = \overline{\varphi(\Delta(\mathbb{Z}))}$, so that $X_\eta \subseteq X_\varphi := \overline{\varphi(H)}$.

Finally we need to introduce some further notation:

$$[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi] := \left\{x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \exists h \in H \text{ s.t. } \varphi_{W'}(h) \leqslant x \leqslant \varphi(h)\right\}, \tag{3}$$

and for any closed subshift $X \subseteq \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$,

$$\tilde{X} := \{x' \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} : \exists\, x \in X \text{ s.t. } x' \leqslant x\}. \tag{4}$$

is the *hereditary closure* of X. Observe that X is hereditary, if and only if $\tilde{X} = X$.

Remark 1. $[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]$ is a shift-invariant subset of $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. It is not necessarily closed, because in general $\varphi_{W'}$ is only upper semicontinuous. It is closed when $\varphi_{W'}$ is continuous, i.e. when W' is open and closed. This applies in particular when $\mathcal{B}$ is proximal, i.e. when $\operatorname{int}(W) = \emptyset$ [8, 7] and hence also $W' = \emptyset$, in which case $\varphi_{W'} = 0$. In that case $[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi] = \tilde{X}_\varphi$, see e.g. [8, Lemma 5.4].

Theorem 1. *If $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive, then $\varphi(H) \subseteq [\varphi_{W'}, \varphi] \subseteq X_\varphi$, in particular $X_\varphi = \overline{[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]}$.*

The proof of this theorem is provided in Subsection 2.2.

Corollary 1. *If $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive and proximal, then $X_\varphi = \tilde{X}_\varphi$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{B}$ is primitivev and proximal, then $[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi] = \tilde{X}_\varphi$ is closed, see Remark 1. Hence $X_\varphi = [\varphi_{W'}, \varphi] = \tilde{X}_\varphi$ by Theorem 1. □

Corollary 2. *If $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive and has light tails, then $X_\eta = X_\varphi = \overline{[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]}$.*

Proof. As $X_\eta = X_\varphi$ whenever $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive and has light tails (see [7, Prop. 2.2], which is based on [2, Prop. 5.11]), this follows from Theorem 1. □

Remark 2. If $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive, proximal and has light tails, then $X_\eta = X_\varphi$ by Corollary 2, and Corollary 1 implies $X_\eta = \tilde{X}_\eta$, thus reproducing Theorem D from [2].

1.2 Notation and further results

In order to formulate some more detailed corollaries to Theorem 1 and to prove this theorem, we need to recall some further notions from the theory of sets of multiples [6] and also from [7]. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a non-empty subset of $\mathbb{N}$.

- $\mathcal{B}$ is *taut*, if $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\{b\}}) < \boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{B})$ for each $b \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{B}) := \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k\leqslant n, k\in\mathcal{M}_\mathcal{B}} k^{-1}$ denotes the logarithmic density of this set, which is known to exist by the Theorem of Davenport and Erdös [3, 4].
- The measure $\nu_\eta := m_H \circ \varphi^{-1}$ on X_φ is called the *Mirsky measure* of the system. The point $\eta \in X_\eta$ is quasi-generic for ν_η, in particular $\mathrm{supp}(\nu_\eta) \subseteq X_\eta$.

If $\mathcal{B}$ has light tails, then

- $\mathcal{B}$ is taut, but the converse does not hold [2, Sect. 4.3],
- η is generic for an ergodic shift invariant probability measure ν_η on $\{0,1\}^\mathbb{Z}$, called the *Mirsky measure* of $\mathcal{B}$ [2, Rem. 2.24 and Thm. F],
- $X_\eta = \mathrm{supp}(\nu_\eta)$ [2, Thm. G],

Combined with Theorem 1 and Corollary 2, this proves the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *a) If $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive, then*

$$\mathrm{supp}(\nu_\eta) \subseteq X_\eta \subseteq X_\varphi = \overline{[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]}. \tag{5}$$

b) If $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive and has light tails, then the inclusions in (5) *are identities.*

Question 1. To which extent can the inclusions in (5) be strict when $\mathcal{B}$ does not have light tails? What can be said about invariant probability measures assigning full mass to any of these set-differences? Are there any such measures?

We continue to recall some notation and results from [7]. By $S, S' \subset \mathcal{B}$ we always denote *finite* subsets. For $S \subset \mathcal{B}$ let

$$\mathcal{A}_S := \{\gcd(b, \operatorname{lcm}(S)) : b \in \mathcal{B}\}, \tag{6}$$

and note that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$, because $b \mid m$ for some $b \in \mathcal{B}$ implies $\gcd(b, \operatorname{lcm}(S)) \mid m$ for any $S \subset \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{E} := \bigcup_{S \subset \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Then

$$\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{E} = \bigcap_{S \subset \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}, \tag{7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E} = \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : \Delta(n) \in \operatorname{int}(W)\} \tag{8}$$

by [7, Lemma 3.1c]. Observe that $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}(\Delta(n)) = \sigma^n(1_{\mathcal{E}})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In view of [7, Lemma 3.5], which states $\Delta(\mathbb{Z}) \cap (W' \setminus \operatorname{int}(W)) = \emptyset$, we have $\varphi_{W'}(\Delta(n)) = \varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}(\Delta(n)) = \sigma^n(1_{\mathcal{E}})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, in particular $\varphi_{W'}$ and $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}$ are continuous at all points from $\Delta(\mathbb{Z}) \subset H$.

Corollary 4. $1_{\mathcal{E}} \in X_\varphi$.

Proof. $1_{\mathcal{E}} = \varphi_{W'}(\Delta(0)) \in [\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]$ by definition. Hence $1_{\mathcal{E}} \in X_\varphi$ by Theorem 1. □

Denote the orbit closure of $1_{\mathcal{E}} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ by $X_{\mathcal{E}}$. Then $X_{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}(\Delta(\mathbb{Z}))} = \overline{\varphi_{W'}(\Delta(\mathbb{Z}))}$ is minimal in view of [7, Lemma 3.9]. As $1_{\mathcal{E}} \in X_\varphi$, this proves the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *$X_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the unique minimal subset of X_φ (and hence also of X_η).*

Remark 3. As $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)} \leqslant \varphi_{W'}$ and as $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}$ is lower semicontinuous, we have

$$[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi] \subseteq X_\varphi = \overline{[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]} \subseteq \overline{[\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}, \varphi]} = [\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}, \varphi].$$

Assume now that $m_H(\partial W') = 0$. (We will see in Proposition 1 that this happens if and only if $1_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a regular Toeplitz sequence.) Then $\varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)} = \varphi_{W'}$ m_H-a.s., so that $[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi] \cap (\pi^H)^{-1}\{h\} = X_\varphi \cap (\pi^H)^{-1}\{h\}$ for m_H.a.a. $h \in H$. Hence all invariant measures on X_φ are supported by $[\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]$.

Conjecture 1. Suppose that $m_H(\partial W') = 0$. Then for any invariant probability measure ν on (X_φ, σ) there exits an invariant probability measure ρ on $(H \times \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}, R_{\Delta(1)} \times \sigma)$, whose projection onto the first coordinate equals m_H and such that, for any measurable $A \subseteq X_\varphi$,

$$\nu(A) = \int_{H \times \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}} 1_A(\varphi_{W'}(h) + x \cdot (\varphi(h) - \varphi_{W'}(h)))\, d\rho(h, x).$$

For the hereditary case this is proved in [2, Theorem I].

1.3 The Toeplitz subsystem

We finish this introduction with a result that sheds some more light on the unique minimal set $X_{\mathcal{E}} = \overline{\varphi_{W'}(\Delta(\mathbb{Z}))}$. Observe that $\overline{\operatorname{int}(W')} = W'$. If W' were an "arithmetic window", just as the window W defined in (2), then Theorem B of [7] would provide much additional information on $X_{\mathcal{E}}$, e.g. that $\varphi_{W'}(\Delta(0))$ is a Toeplitz sequence. However, W' is not "arithmetic" in the strict sense. But one can modify the set $\mathcal{B}$ into a set $\mathcal{B}^*$ in such a way that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*} = \varphi_{W'}(\Delta(0))$. To that end we recall the definition of the set $\mathcal{A}_\infty$ from [7],

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \forall_{S \subset \mathcal{B}}\ \exists_{S' : S \subseteq S' \subset \mathcal{B}} : n \in \mathcal{A}_{S'} \setminus S'\}, \tag{9}$$

and one of its important properties [7, Lemma 3.3],

$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}. \tag{10}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{B}_0 := \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}, \quad \mathcal{B}^* := \mathcal{B}_0 \cup \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}, \tag{11}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$ denotes the set of primitive elements of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$, i.e. the set of those $a \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ which are not multiples of any other element of $\mathcal{A}_\infty$. In Subsection 2.1 we prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *$n \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ if and only if there is an infinite pairwise coprime set $C \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $nC \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.*

Define a map $\gamma : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}^*$ by $\gamma(b) = b$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}_0$ and $\gamma(b) = a \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$ if $b \in a\mathbb{Z}$. In this way $\gamma(b) \mid b$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and one can define a continuous group homomorphism $\Gamma : H \to H^* := \overline{\Delta^*(\mathbb{Z})}$ by $(\Gamma(h))_b = h_b \bmod \gamma(b)$, where $\Delta^* : \mathbb{Z} \to \prod_{b^*\in\mathcal{B}^*} \mathbb{Z}/b^*\mathbb{Z}$ denotes again the canonical diagonal embedding. Obviously, $\Gamma(\Delta(k)) = \Delta^*(k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Similarly let $W^* \subseteq H^*$ denote the corresponding window and $\varphi^* : H^* \to \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the corresponding coding map.

Lemma 2. *(See also [7, Thm. B].)*
a) The set $\mathcal{B}^*$ is primitive.
b) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \bigcap_{S\subset\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \mathcal{E}$.
c) There are no $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and no infinite pairwise coprime set $C \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $nC \subseteq \mathcal{B}^*$.
d) The window $W^* \subseteq H^*$ constructed from $\mathcal{B}^*$ is topologically regular, i.e. $W^* = \overline{\mathrm{int}(W^*)}$.
e) $1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*}}$ is a Toeplitz sequence.
f) $1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*}} = \varphi_{W'}(\Delta(0)) = 1_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Lemma 3. *a) $W^* = \Gamma(W')$.*
b) If $(\varphi_{W'}(h))_i = 0$ for some $h \in H$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\exists b \in \mathcal{B} : (h_b + i) \in \gamma(b)\mathbb{Z}$.

In view of [7, Proposition 1.2], the Toeplitz sequence $1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*}}$ is regular if and only if $m_{H^*}(\partial W^*) = 0$, where m_{H^*} denotes the Haar measure on H^*. The following proposition characterizes the regularity of $1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*}} = \varphi_{W'}(\Delta(0)) = 1_{\mathcal{E}}$ in terms of $m_H(\partial W')$. It is proved in Subsection 2.3.

Proposition 1. *Let $W' = \overline{\mathrm{int}(W)}$. Then $\varphi_{W'}(\Delta(0))$ is a Toeplitz sequence. It is regular if and only if $m_H(\partial W') = 0$.*

2 Proofs

2.1 Proofs of Lemmas 1 - 3

All sets and quantities derived from $\mathcal{B}^*$ instead of $\mathcal{B}$ also carry a * superscript.

Proof of Lemma 1. Suppose first that $n \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$. A repeted application of the definition (9) of this set allows to produce a strictly increasing sequence $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \ldots$ of finite subsets of $\mathcal{B}$ and a strictly increasing sequence $b_1 < b_2 < \ldots$ of elements of $\mathcal{B}$ such that

$$n = \gcd\left(\mathrm{lcm}(S_k), b_k\right) \text{ and } b_k \in S_{k+1} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $C := \{b_1/n, b_2/n, \dots\}$. Then $nC = \{b_1, b_2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, and C is an infinite pairwise coprime subset of $\mathbb{N}$, because, for any $j < k$,

$$\gcd(b_j/n, b_k/n) = n^{-1}\gcd(b_j, b_k) \mid n^{-1}\gcd(\operatorname{lcm}(S_k), b_k) = 1\ .$$

Finally, $b_1/n \neq 1$, because otherwise $b_2 = b_1/n \cdot b_2 = b_2/n \cdot b_1$ is a multiple of b_1, which is impossible, because $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive.

Conversely, suppose that $nC \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, where C is an infinite pairwise coprime subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. Then $n \notin \mathcal{B}$, because $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive. Let $S \subset \mathcal{B}$ and choose any $c \in C$. Let $S' := S \cup \{nc\}$. As $S' \subset \mathcal{B}$ is finite, one can choose some $c' \in C$ which is coprime to $\operatorname{lcm}(S')$. Then $nc' \in \mathcal{B}$, and as $n \mid \operatorname{lcm}(S')$, we conclude that $n = \gcd(\operatorname{lcm}(S'), nc') \in \mathcal{A}_{S'}$. As $n \notin \mathcal{B}$, this shows that $n \in \mathcal{A}_{S'} \setminus S'$. As $S \subset \mathcal{B}$ could be chosen arbitrarily and as $S' \supseteq S$, this proves that $n \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$. □

Proof of Lemma 2. a) Let $b, b' \in \mathcal{B}^*$, $b' = rb$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that $r = 1$:
- If $b', b \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$, then $r = 1$, because $\mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$ is primitive.
- If $b' \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$ but $b \in \mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, then there is some $\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $rb = b' \mid \tilde{b}$. As $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive, this implies $r = 1$.
- If $b' \notin \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$, then $b' \in \mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}$ so that $b \notin \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$. But then $b \in \mathcal{B}_0$, and again $r = 1$ because $\mathcal{B}$ is primitive.

b) Recall that $\mathcal{B}^* = (\mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}) \cup \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$. As $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} &= \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\setminus\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} \\ &= \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}} \cup \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{A}_\infty}\end{aligned}$$

Taking complements this is equivalent to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \mathcal{E}$, where we used (10) for the last identity. Finally note that $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{E} = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \bigcup_{S\subset\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_S} = \bigcap_{S\subset\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$.

c) Suppose for a contradiction that there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and an infinite pairwise coprime set $C \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $nC \subseteq \mathcal{B}^*$. If $n \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$, then $nC \subseteq \mathcal{B}^* \cap \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$, so that $C = \{1\}$, a contradiction. Hence $n \notin \mathcal{A}_\infty$. Then Lemma 1 implies that $(nC) \cap \mathcal{B}_0 \subseteq (nC) \cap \mathcal{B}$ is finite, so that $(nC) \cap \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$ is infinite. This leads to the contradiction $n \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$, as can be seen as follows: Let $\{nc_1 < nc_2 < \dots\} := (nC) \cap \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$. For each nc_k there is an infinite pairwise coprime set $C_k \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $nc_kC_k \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Inductively we choose elements $c'_j \in C_{k_j}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ and suitable $k_1 < k_2 < \dots$ in the following way: $k_1 = 1$ and c'_1 can be any element of C_1. Suppose that $k_1 < \dots < k_j$ and $c'_1, \dots, c'_j$ are chosen. Then choose $k_{j+1} > k_j$ and $c'_{j+1} \in C_{k_{j+1}}$ in such a way that $c_{k_{j+1}}$ and c'_{j+1} are coprime to the finite set $\{c_{k_1}c'_1, \dots, c_{k_j}c'_j\}$. In this way we obtain an infinite pairwise coprime set $C' := \{c_{k_1}c'_1, c_{k_2}c'_2, \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. It satisfies $nC' \subseteq \mathcal{B}$, because $n \cdot c_{k_j}c'_j \in nc_{k_j}C_{k_j} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$. Hence $n \in \mathcal{A}_\infty$ by Lemma 1.

d) and e) As $\mathcal{B}^*$ is primitive (see assertion a), both are equivalent to assertion c) in view of [7, Thm. B].

f) In view of assertion b) and equations (10) and (8) we have $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}\cup\mathcal{A}_\infty} = \mathcal{E} = \Delta^{-1}(\operatorname{int}(W))$. Hence $1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*}} = 1_{\mathcal{E}} = 1_{\operatorname{int}(W)} \circ \Delta = \varphi_{\operatorname{int}(W)}(\Delta(0)) = \varphi_{W'}(\Delta(0))$. □

Proof of Lemma 3. a) We begin with the observation that $\Gamma(\Delta(n)) \in W^*$ for all $n \in \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*}$ (see Lemma 2b): suppose for a contradiction that $\Gamma(\Delta(n)) \notin W^*$ for such a n. Then there is $\gamma(b) \in \mathcal{B}^*$ such that $0 = \Gamma(\Delta(n))_{\gamma(b)} = (\Delta(n))_b \bmod \gamma(b) = n \bmod \gamma(b)$, i.e. $n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}$, the contradiction. Hence $\Gamma(\Delta(\mathcal{E})) \subseteq W^*$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^*(k) \in \operatorname{int}(W^*) &\Leftrightarrow k \in \mathcal{E}^* && \text{by [7, Lemma 3.1],} \\ &\Leftrightarrow k \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*} && \text{by [7, Prop. 3.2],} \\ &\Leftrightarrow k \in \mathcal{E} && \text{by Lemma 2b.}\end{aligned}$$

Observing the topological regularity of W^* (see Lemma 2d), we conclude

$$W^* = \overline{\mathrm{int}(W^*) \cap \Delta(\mathbb{Z})} = \overline{\Delta^*(\mathcal{E})} = \overline{\Gamma(\Delta(\mathcal{E}))} = \Gamma(\overline{\Delta(\mathcal{E})}),$$

where we used the continuity of Γ and the compactness of $\overline{\Delta(\mathcal{E})}$ for the last identity. Hence

$$W^* = \Gamma(\overline{\Delta(\mathcal{E})}) = \Gamma(\overline{\mathrm{int}(W) \cap \Delta(\mathbb{Z})}) = \Gamma(\overline{\mathrm{int}(W)}) = \Gamma(W').$$

b) Let $h \in H$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi_{W'}(h))_i = 0 &\Rightarrow h + \Delta(i) \in W' \\ &\Rightarrow \Gamma(h + \Delta(i)) \in \Gamma(W') = W^* \\ &\Rightarrow \exists \gamma(b) \in \mathcal{B}^* : (\Gamma(h + \Delta(i))_{\gamma(b)} \in \gamma(b)\mathbb{Z} \\ &\Rightarrow \exists b \in \mathcal{B} : (h_b + i \bmod \gamma(b)) \in \gamma(b)\mathbb{Z} \\ &\Rightarrow \exists b \in \mathcal{B} : (h_b + i) \in \gamma(b)\mathbb{Z}.\end{aligned}$$

□

2.2 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 3

The proof of Theorem 1 is inspired by the proof of Theorem D in [2] and combines ideas from that proof with observations from [7]. The two main differences compared to the proofs in [2] are:

- The proximality assumption enters the proof from [2] immediately after eqn. (58) of that paper: for each finite $S \subset \mathcal{B}$ there exists $b \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S), b) = 1$, i.e. $1 \in \mathcal{A}_S$ and hence $\mathbb{Z} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$. Without that assumption we can only use our general knowledge of the set $\mathcal{A}_S$, namely that $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$.

- The light tails assumption is used in the proof of [2, Lemma 5.21], from which Proposition 5.11 of that reference is deduced. This proposition basically asserts that for certain cylinder sets in H there exist $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma^{k'}\eta = \varphi(\Delta(k'))$ belongs to that cylinder set. This is proved by showing that the set of integers k' with this property has positive density - hence by a kind of measure theoretic argument. Here we prove the existence by a topological argument using compactness of H and the Heine-Borel theorem. The price to pay is that the existence can no longer be guaranteed in the set $\Delta(\mathbb{Z})$ but only in its closure H.

The following notation will be used repeatedly:

- By $S, S' \subset \mathcal{B}$ we always mean *finite* subsets.
- The topology on H is generated by the (open and closed) cylinder sets

$$U_S(h) := \{h' \in H : \forall b \in S : h_b = h'_b\}, \text{ defined for finite } S \subset \mathcal{B} \text{ and } h \in H\,.$$

- For $n \geqslant 1$, let $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} := \{b \in \mathcal{B} : p \leqslant n \text{ for any } p \in \mathrm{Spec}(b)\}$, where $\mathrm{Spec}(b)$ stands for the set of all prime divisors of b.
- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ let $H_b^N := \bigcap_{i=-N}^{N} \{h \in H : h_b + i \neq 0 \bmod b\}$. All sets H_b^N are open and closed by definition. If $b \notin \mathcal{B}^{(2N+1)}$, then b has at least one prime divisor greater than $2N+1$ so that $H_b^N \neq \emptyset$. For $C \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ denote $H_C^N := \bigcap_{b \in C} H_b^N$.

We start with a modification of some lemmas from [2].

Lemma 4. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geqslant 2N+1$, $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}$ finite, $p > n$ a prime number not dividing* $\operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{A})$*, and $S \subset \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ be finite. Denote $S_0 := \{b \in S : p \nmid b\}$, and suppose that $S \setminus S_0 \neq \emptyset$. ($S_0$ may be empty, however.) If $U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_{S_0} \neq \emptyset$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then also $U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_S \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. By definition,

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_{S_0} \subseteq (U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_S) \cup \bigcup_{b \in S \setminus S_0} \bigcup_{i=-N}^{N} \{h \in U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) : h_b + i \in p\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Fix any $b' \in S \setminus S_0$. As all $b \in S \setminus S_0$ are multiples of p, we have $h_b - h_{b'} \in \gcd(b, b')\,\mathbb{Z} \subseteq p\mathbb{Z}$, so that $h_b + i \in p\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $h_{b'} + i \in p\mathbb{Z}$ for all $h \in H$ and $i = -N, \dots, N$. Hence

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_{S_0} \subseteq (U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_S) \cup \bigcup_{i=-N}^{N} \{h \in U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) : h_{b'} + i \in p\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Suppose for a contradiction that $U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_S = \emptyset$. Then

$$U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_{S_0} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=-N}^{N} \{h \in U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) : h_{b'} + i \in p\mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{12}$$

As $p > n \geqslant 2N+1$, there is $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $j + i \notin p\mathbb{Z}$ for all $i = -N, \dots, N$. Let $h \in U_{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_{S_0}$. As $\gcd(p, b) = 1$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A} \cup S_0$, the CRT guarantees the existence of an integer m such that
- $m = h_b = k \bmod b$ for all $b \in \mathcal{A}$,
- $m = h_b \bmod b$ for all $b \in S_0$, and
- $m = j \bmod p$.

Hence $\Delta(m) \in U_S(\Delta(k)) \cap H^N_{S_0}$, but since $p | b'$, we have $\Delta(m)|_{b'} + i \bmod p = j + i \bmod p \neq 0$ for all $i = -N, \dots, N$ in contradiction to (12).

□

Lemma 5. *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geqslant 2N+1$, and $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{A}$ finite. Denote*

$$C = C(\mathcal{A}, n) := \{b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{A} : b \text{ has at least one prime divisor } p > n \text{ coprime to } \operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{A})\}. \tag{13}$$

Then, for each $h \in H$, there is $h' \in U_{\mathcal{A}}(h)$ such that $h'_b + i \neq 0$ mod b for all $b \in C$ and $i \in \{-N, \dots, N\}$.

Proof. We must show that $U_{\mathcal{A}}(h) \cap H^N_C \neq \emptyset$. As all H^N_b are compact, it suffices to show that $U_{\mathcal{A}}(h) \cap H^N_S \neq \emptyset$ for each finite set $S \subset C$. We procede by induction on the cardinality of the set S.

- If $S = \{b\}$, $b \in C$, then b has a prime divisor $p > n$ which is coprime to $\operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{A})$. Hence the assertion follows from Lemma 4 (with $S_0 = \emptyset$), because $U_{\mathcal{A}}(h) \neq \emptyset$.

- For the inductive step, let $S \subset C$ and note that there exists at least one prime number $p > n$ which is coprime to $\operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{A})$ and divides at least one element of S. To this p we apply Lemma 4.

□

Lemma 6. *(See also [2, Prop. 5.10].)*
Let $h \in H$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I_0 \subseteq \{-N \dots, N\}$ be such that $(\varphi_{W'}(h))_i = 0 < 1 = (\varphi(h))_i$ for all $i \in I_0$. Define $\omega \in \{0,1\}^{\{-N,\dots,N\}}$ by

$$\omega_i := \begin{cases} (\varphi(h))_i & \text{if } i \notin I_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I_0. \end{cases}$$

Then, for each $S \subset \mathcal{B}$, there is $h' \in U_S(h)$ such that $(\varphi(h'))_i = \omega_i$ for all $i = -N, \dots, N$.

Proof. **Step 1:** This step applies to any $h \in H$ and $n \geqslant 2N+1$. For any set $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ with $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ denote

$$I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h) := \{i \in \{-N, \dots, N\} : \exists b \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \text{ s.t. } h_b + i \in b\mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Observe that for $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$,

$$I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h) = \{i \in \{-N, \dots, N\} : h + \Delta(i) \notin W\} = \{i \in \{-N, \dots, N\} : (\varphi(h))_i = 0\}.$$

In particular, $I_0 \cap I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h) = \emptyset$.

For each $i \in I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h)$ we fix some $b_i \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}$ with $(h_{b_i} + i) \in b_i\mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1 := \mathcal{B}^{(n)} \cup \{b_i : i \in I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h)\} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{B}}.$$

By [2, Lemma 5.14], the set $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ is finite.

Let $\beta_1 := \operatorname{lcm}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1)$. Then, for any $i \in I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h)$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(h_{b_i} + i + \ell\beta_1) \in b_i\mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h) \subseteq I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1}(h + \Delta(\ell\beta_1))$. Assume for a contradiction that there exists $j \in I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1}(h + \Delta(\ell\beta_1)) \setminus I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h)$. Then there is $b \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1$ such that $(h_b + \ell\beta_1 + j) \in b\mathbb{Z}$, but $(h_b + j) \notin b\mathbb{Z}$, a contradiction to $b \mid \beta_1$. Therefore,

$$I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h) = I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1}(h + \Delta(\ell\beta_1)) \quad \text{for all } \ell \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{14}$$

Step 2: From now on we choose $n \geqslant 2N+1$ large enough that $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{(n)}$, and we consider the particular element $h \in H$ from the assumption of the lemma.

For each $i \in I_0$ we have $(\varphi_{W'}(h))_i = 0$. Hence there is $\tilde{b}_i \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $h_{\tilde{b}_i} + i \in \gamma(\tilde{b}_i)\mathbb{Z}$, see Lemma 3. But $h_{\tilde{b}_i} + i \notin \tilde{b}_i\mathbb{Z}$, because $(\varphi(h))_i = 1$. In particular, $\gamma(\tilde{b}_i) \neq \tilde{b}_i$, i.e. $\tilde{b}_i \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_\infty}$. It follows that $\gamma(\tilde{b}_i) \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$. Hence there is an infinite pairwise coprime set $\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ such that $\gamma(\tilde{b}_i)\mathcal{D}_i \subseteq \mathcal{B}$.

We are going to apply Step 1 to $\tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{B}$. This yields the set $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}^{(n)} \cup \{b_i : i \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h)\}$ and the number $\beta_1 = \operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{B}_1)$. As I_0 is a finite set, we can choose $d_i \in \mathcal{D}_i$ ($i \in I_0$) pairwise coprime and coprime to β_1 and all $\tilde{b}_j$, $j \in I_0$. Denote $b_i := d_i \cdot \gamma(\tilde{b}_i)$. (Observe that the choice of the b_i, $i \in I_0$, is not in conflict with the b_i chosen in Step 1, because in that step the indices i are in the set $I_N^{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}}(h)$, which is disjoint from I_0.) Then $b_i \in \mathcal{B}$, and $b_i > n$, because otherwise $b_i \in \mathcal{B}^{(n)}$, so that $d_i > 1$ could not be coprime to β_1. Obviously $\gamma(b_i) = \gamma(\tilde{b}_i)$, and as $\gamma(b_i) \mid \gcd(b_i, \tilde{b}_i)$, we see that $\gamma(b_i) \mid (h_{\tilde{b}_i} - h_{b_i})$. It follows that $h_{b_i} + i \in \gamma(b_i)\mathbb{Z}$.

We claim that there is $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= -(h_{b_i} + i) \bmod b_i \quad \text{for all } i \in I_0, \\ x &= 0 \qquad\qquad\quad \bmod \beta_1. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, this follows from the CRT, because
- $\gcd(b_i, b_j) = \gcd(d_i\,\gamma(\tilde{b}_i), d_j\,\gamma(\tilde{b}_j)) = \gcd(\gamma(b_i), \gamma(b_j)) \mid (h_{b_j} + j) - (h_{b_i} + i)$ for all $i, j \in I_0$, and

- $\gcd(b_i, \beta_1) = \gcd(d_i\,\gamma(\tilde{b_i}), \beta_1) = \gcd(\gamma(b_i), \beta_1) \mid \gamma(b_i) \mid (h_{b_i} + i)$.
Hence $x = \ell\beta_1$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

$$(h + \Delta(x) + \Delta(i))_{b_i} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I_0. \tag{15}$$

As $b_i > n \geqslant 2N + 1$,

$$(h + \Delta(x) + \Delta(k))_{b_i} \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I_0 \text{ and } k \in \{-N, \dots, N\} \setminus \{i\}. \tag{16}$$

Let $\mathcal{B}^\diamond := \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \{b_i : i \in I_0\}$ and $h^\diamond := h + \Delta(x)$. We claim that

$$I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h) \cup I_0 = I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1}(h + \Delta(x)) \cup I_0 = I_N^{\mathcal{B}^\diamond}(h^\diamond). \tag{17}$$

Indeed, the first identity follows from (14), and the "$\subseteq$"-direction of the second one follows from (15). So let $k \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}^\diamond}(h^\diamond)$, and fix $b \in \mathcal{B}^\diamond$ such that $h_b + k \in b\mathbb{Z}$. If $b \in \mathcal{B}_1$, then $k \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1}(h^\diamond)$, and we are done. Otherwise there is $i \in I_0$ such that $b = b_i$, i.e. $(h + \Delta(x) + \Delta(k))_{b_i} = h^\diamond_{b_i} + k \in b_i\mathbb{Z}$. In view of (16) this implies $k = i \in I_0$.

Step 3: In this step we replace the set $\mathcal{B}^\diamond$ in (17) by $\mathcal{B}$. The price to pay will be to replace also $h^\diamond$ by another element from $U_S(h)$.

We apply Step 1 to $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}^\diamond$ and $h = h^\diamond$. This yields a set $\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \subseteq \mathcal{B}^\diamond$ such that

$$I_N^{\mathcal{B}^\diamond}(h^\diamond) = I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond}(h^\diamond). \tag{18}$$

Denote $\beta_1^\diamond := \operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond)$, and define

$$\mathcal{B}_2 := \{b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_1^\diamond : b \text{ has no prime divisor } p > n \text{ coprime to } \beta_1^\diamond\}. \tag{19}$$

As $\mathcal{B}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1^\diamond$, the set $\mathcal{B}$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond$, $\mathcal{B}_2$ and $C(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond, n)$, where $C(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond, n)$ is defined in (13). Observe that a prime $p > n$ is coprime to $\beta_1^\diamond = \operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond)$, if and only if it is coprime to $\operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2, n) &= \{b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2) : b \text{ has at least one prime divisor } p > n \text{ coprime to } \beta_1^\diamond\} \\ &= \{b \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_1^\diamond : b \text{ has at least one prime divisor } p > n \text{ coprime to } \beta_1^\diamond\} \\ &= C(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond, n). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 5.11 in [2] one shows that $\mathcal{B}_2$, and hence also $\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2$, is finite [1] and that

$$I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2}(h^\diamond) = I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond}(h^\diamond). \tag{21}$$

Indeed, let $i \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2}(h^\diamond)$. Then there is $b \in \mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ such that $h_b^\diamond + i \in b\mathbb{Z}$. If $b \in \mathcal{B}_1^\diamond$, then $i \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond}(h^\diamond)$. Otherwise, $b \in \mathcal{B}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \subseteq \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{B}^{(n)}$ has a prime divisor $p > n$. By the definition of $\mathcal{B}_2$ in (19), $p \mid \beta_1^\diamond = \operatorname{lcm}(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond)$. As $\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond = \mathcal{B}^{(n)} \cup \{b_j : j \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}^\diamond}(h^\diamond)\}$, it follows that $p \mid b_j$ for some $j \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}^\diamond}(h^\diamond)$. Then $p \mid (h^\diamond_{b_j} + j)$, and since $p \mid \gcd(b, b_j) \mid (h_b^\diamond - h^\diamond_{b_j})$, it follows that $p \mid (h_b^\diamond + j)$. But we also have $p \mid b \mid (h_b^\diamond + i)$, so that $p \mid (i - j)$, which contradicts $p > n \geqslant 2N + 1$.

[1] If p is a prime divisor of any $b' \in \mathcal{B}_2$ then either $p \leqslant n$, or $p > n$ and $p \mid \beta_1^\diamond$. Hence $|\operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{B}_2)| < \infty$, and since $\mathcal{B}_2$ is primitive (it is a subset of the primitive set $\mathcal{B}$), it must be finite [2, Lemma 5.14].

Thus having established (21), we apply Lemma 5 to the set $\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2$ So there is $h' \in U_{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2}(h^\diamond)$ with $h'_b + i \neq 0 \bmod b$ for all $b \in C(\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2, n) = \mathcal{B} \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2)$ and $i \in \{-N, \dots, N\}$. Combined with (17), (18) and (21) this shows

$$I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h) \cup I_0 = I_N^{\mathcal{B}^\diamond}(h^\diamond) = I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond}(h^\diamond) = I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2}(h^\diamond) = I_N^{\mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2}(h') = I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h'). \tag{22}$$

Observe that $h' \in U_S(h^\diamond) = U_S(h)$, because $S \subseteq \mathcal{B}^{(n)} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_1^\diamond \cup \mathcal{B}_2$, so that, for all $b \in S$, $h'_b = h_b^\diamond = h_b + \ell\beta_1 \bmod b = h_b$.

To conclude the proof, notice that, in view of (22), for any $i \in \{-N, \dots, N\}$

$$(\varphi(h'))_i = 0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; i \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h') \;\Leftrightarrow\; i \in I_N^{\mathcal{B}}(h) \cup I_0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; (\varphi(h))_i = 0 \text{ or } i \in I_0 \;\Leftrightarrow\; \omega_i = 0.$$

□

Proposition 2. *If $\varphi_{W'}(h) \leqslant x \leqslant \varphi(h)$ for some $x \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $h \in H$, then there are $h_1, h_2, \dots \in H$ such that $h = \lim_{N\to\infty} h_N$ and $x = \lim_{N\to\infty} \varphi(h_N)$.*

Proof. Fix a filtration $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots$ of $\mathcal{B}$ by finite sets S_k. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $I_N := \{i \in \{-N, \dots, N\} : x_i = 0, (\varphi(h))_i = 1\}$. By Lemma 6, there are $h_N \in U_{S_N}(h)$ ($N \in \mathbb{N}$) such that $x_i = (\varphi(h_N))_i$ for all $i = -N, \dots, N$. Then $\lim_{N\to\infty} h_N = h$ and $\lim_{N\to\infty} \varphi(h_N) = x$. □

Proof of Theorem 1. $\varphi(H) \subseteq [\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]$ is obvious. So let $x \in [\varphi_{W'}, \varphi]$. There is $h \in H$ with $\varphi_{W'}(h) \leqslant x \leqslant \varphi(h)$. Hence $x \in X_\varphi$ because of Proposition 2. □

2.3 Proof of Proposition 1

All sets and quantities derived from $\mathcal{B}^*$ instead of $\mathcal{B}$ also carry a * superscript. For $S \subset \mathcal{B}$ define

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S} := \mathcal{M}_{\{b \in \mathcal{B}^* : b \,|\, \mathrm{lcm}(S)\}}, \tag{23}$$

and observe that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} = \bigcup_{S \subset \mathcal{B}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}$.

Lemma 7. *$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S} = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} + k \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(S))$ and $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S} = \mathcal{E} + \mathrm{lcm}(S) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}$, so $n = \ell b$ for some $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}*$, $b \mid \mathrm{lcm}(S)$. Then $b \mid n - k \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(S)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $n \in \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} + k \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(S))$.

Conversely suppose that $n \in \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} + k \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(S))$. Then $n + \mathrm{lcm}(S) \cdot \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}$, in particular $n \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}$. Let $m := \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S), n)$, $\hat{n} := n/m$ and $\hat{s} := \mathrm{lcm}(S)/m$. Then $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{s}$ are coprime, and by Dirichlet's theorem, $\mathcal{P}' := \mathcal{P} \cap (\hat{n} + \hat{s} \cdot \mathbb{Z})$ is an infinite set of prime numbers. Observe that $m\mathcal{P}' \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}$, hence for all $p \in \mathcal{P}'$ there are $k_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b_p \in \mathcal{B}^*$ such that $mp = k_p b_p$.

Suppose for a contradiction that for all $p \in \mathcal{P}'$ there is $\ell_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $b_p = \ell_p p$. Then $m = k_p \ell_p$, and as $\mathcal{P}'$ is infinite, there are $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an infinite subset $\mathcal{P}'_0$ of $\mathcal{P}'$ such that $\ell_p = \ell$ for all $p \in \mathcal{P}'_0$. Hence $\ell\mathcal{P}'_0 = \{b_p : p \in \mathcal{P}'_0\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}^*$, which is impossible in view of Lemma 2c. So there is some $p \in \mathcal{P}'$ that does not divide b_p. Hence $m = k_p/p \cdot b_p \in b_p\mathbb{Z}$, and so $n = \hat{n}m \in b_p\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathrm{lcm}(S) = \hat{s}m = (\hat{s} \cdot k_p/p) \cdot b_p$, i.e. $b_p \mid \mathrm{lcm}(S)$.

We proved $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S} = \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} + k \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(S))$. Taking complements this yields $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*} + k \cdot \mathrm{lcm}(S)) = \mathcal{E} + \mathrm{lcm}(S) \cdot \mathbb{Z}$, where we used also Lemma 2b for the last identity. □

Lemma 8. *a) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $S \subset \mathcal{B}$. Then $U_S(\Delta(n)) \subseteq \mathrm{int}(W')$ if and only if $n \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$, and $U_S(\Delta(n)) \cap W' \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}$.*

b) $m_H(\partial W') = \inf_{S\subset\mathcal{B}} d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S})$.

Proof. a) As $\mathrm{int}(W') = \mathrm{int}(W)$, the first assertion follows from [7, Lemma 3.1a]. For the second one observe first that $U_S(\Delta(n)) \cap W' \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $U_S(\Delta(n)) \cap \mathrm{int}(W') \neq \emptyset$. In view of [7, Lemma 3.1a] this is equivalent to: there are $S \subset S' \subset \mathcal{B}$ and $\ell \in n + \mathrm{lcm}(S)\cdot\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\ell \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{S'}}$, which can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists S \subseteq S' \subset \mathcal{B} : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{S'}} \cap (n + \mathrm{lcm}(S)\cdot\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \bigcup_{S\subseteq S'\subset\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{A}_{S'}} \cap (n + \mathrm{lcm}(S)\cdot\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & \mathcal{E} \cap (n + \mathrm{lcm}(S)\cdot\mathbb{Z}) \neq \emptyset \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad & n \in (\mathcal{E} + \mathrm{lcm}(S)\cdot\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}. \end{aligned}$$

b) Let $S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots$ be any filtration of $\mathcal{B}$ by finite sets S_k. Then part a of the lemma implies

$$m_H(W') = \lim_{k\to\infty} d(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S_k}) = \inf_{S\subset\mathcal{B}} d(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S})$$

and

$$m_H(\mathrm{int}(W')) = \lim_{k\to\infty} d(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_{S_k}}) = \sup_{S\subset\mathcal{B}} d(\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}).$$

Observing that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_{S'}}$ (Lemma 2) for all $S, S' \subset \mathcal{B}$, we conclude that

$$m_H(\partial W') = m_H(W') - m_H(\mathrm{int}(W')) = \lim_{k\to\infty} d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_{S_k}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S_k}). = \sup_{S\subset\mathcal{B}} d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}).$$

□

For $S \subset \mathcal{B}$ let $S^* := (S \cap \mathcal{B}_0) \cup \{a \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim} : S \cap a\cdot(\mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}) \neq \emptyset\}$, where $\mathcal{B}_0$ is defined in (11). Then $S^* \subset \mathcal{B}^*$.

Lemma 9. *For any $S \subset \mathcal{B}$ there is $S' \subset \mathcal{B}^*$ with $S^* \subseteq S'$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S'}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S^*}}$.*

Proof. Let $S \subset \mathcal{B}$. For $a \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\mathcal{B}_a := \{b \in \mathcal{B}^* : \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S), b) = a\}$, and let $\mathcal{D}_S := \{a \in \mathbb{N} : \mathcal{B}_a \neq \emptyset\}$. Then $\mathcal{D}_S \subseteq \{1, \dots, \mathrm{lcm}(S)\}$ is finite and $\mathcal{B}^* = \bigcup_{a\in\mathcal{D}_S} \mathcal{B}_a$. For each $a \in \mathcal{D}_S$ fix some $b_a \in \mathcal{B}_a$, and let

$$S' := S^* \cup \{b_a : a \in \mathcal{D}_S\}.$$

Then $S' \subset \mathcal{B}^*$ and $\mathcal{A}^*_{S'} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$, so that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S'}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$. Indeed: Let $b \in \mathcal{B}^*$. There is $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $b \in \mathcal{B}_a$, i.e. such that $a = \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S), b)$.

- If $b \in \mathcal{B}_0$, then $a \in \mathcal{A}_S$ and $a \mid \gcd(b_a, b) \mid \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S'), b)$, so that $\gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S'), b) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$.
- If $b \in \mathcal{A}_\infty^{prim}$, then there is an infinite pairwise coprime set $C \subseteq \mathbb{N}\setminus\{1\}$ such that $bC \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ (Lemma 1). Choose any $c \in C$ coprime to $\mathrm{lcm}(S)$. Then $bc \in \mathcal{B}$, so that $a = \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S), b) = \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S), bc) \in \mathcal{A}_S$, and $a \mid \gcd(b_a, b) \mid \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S'), b)$. Hence $\gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S'), b) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S}$.

For the second inclusion let $a = \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S), b) \in \mathcal{A}_S$. Then $\mathrm{lcm}(S^*) \mid \mathrm{lcm}(S)$ by definition of S^*, and, by definition of $\mathcal{B}^*$, there is $b^* \in \mathcal{B}^*$ which divides b. Hence $a \in \gcd(\mathrm{lcm}(S^*), b^*)\cdot\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S^*}}$. This proves $\mathcal{A}_S \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S^*}}$ and hence $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S^*}}$. □

Proof of Proposition 1. $1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}^*}}$ is a Toeplitz sequence by Lemma 2. It is regular if and only if $m_{H^*}(\partial W^*) = 0$, see [7, Prop. 1.2], and in view of [7, Lemma 4.3] this is equivalent to

$$\inf_{S''\subset\mathcal{B}^*} \bar{d}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}) = 0.$$

On the other hand, $m_H(\partial W') = 0$ if and only if

$$\inf_{S \subset \mathcal{B}} d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}) = 0$$

by Lemma 8. The equivalence of both conditions will follow, once we have proved the (more general) identity

$$\inf_{S'' \subset \mathcal{B}^*} \bar{d}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}) = \inf_{S \subset \mathcal{B}} d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}) \,. \tag{24}$$

So let $S \subset \mathcal{B}$. Choose $S^* \subseteq S' \subset \mathcal{B}^*$ as in Lemma 9. Then, for any $S' \subseteq S'' \subset \mathcal{B}^*$,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S'}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S},$$

so that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}$. This proves the "$\leqslant$" direction in (24).

Conversely, let $S'' \subset \mathcal{B}^*$. Choose any $S \subset \mathcal{B}$, for which $S'' \subseteq S^*$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S^*}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}},$$

so that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S} \subseteq (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}) \cup (\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}_S} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}) &\leqslant \bar{d}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}) + \underline{d}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}) \\ &\leqslant \bar{d}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{A}^*_{S''}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}) + \underline{d}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}) - d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S}). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the "$\geqslant$" direction in (24), because $\underline{d}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*}) = \sup_{S \subset \mathcal{B}} d(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{B}^*|S})$ by the theorem of Davenport and Erdös, see [6, eqn. (0.67)]. □

References

[1] H. Abdalaoui, M. Lemańczyk and T. de la Rue, *A dynamical point of view on the set of $\mathcal{B}$-free integers*, International Mathematics Research Notices **16** (2015), 7258-7286.

[2] A. Bartnicka, S. Kasjan, J. Kułaga-Przymus, and M. Lemańczyk. *$\mathcal{B}$-free sets and dynamics*. ArXiv 1509.08010 (2015). To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[3] H. Davenport and P. Erdös. *On sequences of positive integers.* Acta Arithmetica **2** (1936), 147–151.

[4] H. Davenport and P. Erdös. *On sequences of positive integers.* J. Indian Math. soc. (N.S.) **15** (1951), 19–24.

[5] W. H. Gottschalk. *Powers of homeomorphisms with almost periodic properties.* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944), 222–227.

[6] R. R. Hall, Sets of multiples, vol. 118 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.

[7] S. Kasjan, G. Keller, and M. Lemańczyk. *Dynamics of $\mathcal{B}$-free sets: a view through the window*. arXiv:1702.02375 (2017).

[8] G. Keller, C. Richard, *Dynamics on the graph of the torus parametrisation,* Preprint (2015) ArXiv 1511.06137. Ergod. Th.& Dynam. Sys. first online, doi:10.1017/etds.2016.53

[9] J. Kułaga-Przymus, M. Lemańczyk and B. Weiss. *On invariant measures for $\mathcal{B}$-free systems*. Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **110** (2015), 1435–1474.

[10] R. Peckner. *Uniqueness of the measure of maximal entropy for the squarefree flow*. Israel J. Math. **210** (2015), 335–357.

[11] P. Sarnak. *Three lectures on Möbius function, randomness and dynamics*, publications.ias.edu/sarnak/.